

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,687	12/19/2003	Jeffrey A. Hubbell	158264-0003	158264-0003 7788	
32256	10/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
REED SMITH LLP 3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE			SZEKELY, PETER A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1714	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summer:	10/743,687	HUBBELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714	· .			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	eptember 2006.		9			
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		,			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectodrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the ce	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	, Stage			
Attachment(s)	•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/743,687 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. The priority date of 2/28/92 is granted.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In *re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 19 and 37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 37-58 of U.S. Patent No. 5,334,640. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent discloses applicants' invention in claim 50. The priority date is of no significance, since U.S. 5,334,640 is a published U.S. Patent having a common inventor with the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,687 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 19 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. 5,268,286.
- 7. Claim 1 of Kobayashi et al. disclose the graft copolymer of an alginic acid derivative (an alginate) with a saponified polyvinyl acetate (a polyvinyl alcohol) containing a stilbazolium group as a photo-crosslinking group. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made, to select a derivative of alginic acid from a list of equivalents. Applicants are requested to show why said copolymer does not read on the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,687 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

8. All other rejections are withdrawn by the examiner.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Sžekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 10/11/06